ICME 11-RT-041

EFFECT OF NUMBER OF KNOTS IN A POLYNOMIAL TRAJECTORY ON MOTION CHARACTERISTICS OF ROBOTIC MANIPULATORS

Suparno Bhattacharyya and Tarun Kanti Naskar

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, India.

ABSTRACT

The paper presents a new method of robotic trajectory planning and motion characteristics. Main objective is to study the motion characteristics of a manipulator and to explore the scope of minimization of jerk. Multiple higher order polynomials are considered for the trajectory design and the effect of number of intermediate knots between start and final positions of a 3R manipulator within the workspace is studied. Values of displacements, velocities, accelerations and jerk of end-effectors on a straight line path are presented. There is an attempt to simulate the motion of the manipulator with the help of AutoLISP code on AutoCAD platform.

Keywords: Trajectory, Spline, Knots; Jerk, Manipulator.

1. INTRODUCTION

One common objective for using robotic manipulators in industries is to make them trace a specified trajectory. The trajectory planning is an active area of research in the field of robotics. N. A. Aspragathos [1] worked in the area of generation of Cartesian trajectory under bounded position deviation. Gasparetto and Zanotto [2] developed a new method for smooth trajectory planning. Saramago and Ceccarelli [3] proposed the optimization of trajectory planning taking into account robot actuating energy and grasping forces in manipulator gripper. In spite of many other similar works in the area of robotic trajectory planning there is a little number of papers published on the effects of a particular trajectory-curve on acceleration and more particularly on jerk of manipulators in motion.

This work is carried out to find the effect of number of knots in polynomial splines used as robotic trajectory on the motion characteristics of manipulator. Many works are there on effects of knots in polynomial spline on kinematics parameters like acceleration and jerk of cam followers [4]. Introduction of knots in polynomial spline and B-spline can play very important role in minimizing acceleration, jerk and ping (time derivative of jerk) of cam followers [5-6]. First study is conducted to see the effects of number of intermediate knots in 8th order polynomial on robotic trajectory [7]. With the help of these works higher order polynomial splines with multiple knots are designed for robotic trajectory. 6-, 8and 10-order polynomials are considered and multiple knots are inserted to study the effects on trajectory design.

Different higher order polynomials are used to join © ICME2011

the knots in the joint space and polynomial splines are constructed. Effects of number of knots on displacement, velocity, acceleration and jerk for the linear path of end-effectors motion are analyzed. A case study is done with a 3R planar manipulator tracing the newly designed trajectory-curve. The simulation of the motion of the manipulator is done with the help of AutoLISP program on AutoCAD platform. The problem is approached in the following way:

(i) Start point and end point are assumed on a specified manipulator trajectory; (ii) the trajectory is defined in the coordinate space; (iii) some via-points (called knots) are assumed on the trajectory; (iv) inverse kinematics analysis at these points is done and the points are plotted in the joint coordinate system for each link of the robotic arm; (v) these points are joined by 6-, 8- and 10-order polynomials to construct splines; (vi) at each point forward kinematics analysis is done in the workspace using AutoLISP code.

2. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

Fig. 1 shows a 3R planar manipulator along with its work-space B and target trajectory ab, which is a straight line with two via-points p and q (called intermediate knots). B is a ring with inner and outer diameters. The number of intermediate knots can be as many as user's choice. Fig. 1(a) shows a spline with two end and intermediate knots. Inverse kinematics analysis at the points a, p, q, b is done and the points are plotted in the joint coordinate systems for each link - a and b being the RT-041

end knots. In a general way if there is a point P(x, y) in the coordinate space the inverse kinematics analysis requires to find the joint angles $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ as a function of wrist position and orientation (x, y, ϕ) as shown in Fig. 2.







Fig 1(a). Spline with knots



Fig 2. 3R planar manipulator: joint and link parameters Solving for θ_1 we rewrite the nonlinear using a change of variables as follows:

$$x=L_{1}c_{1}+L_{2}c_{12}$$

$$y=L_{1}s_{1}+L_{2}s_{12}$$

$$x=k_{1}c_{1}+k_{2}s_{1}$$

$$y=k_{1}s_{1}+k_{2}c_{1}$$

where $k_1 = L_1 + L_2c_2$ and $k_2 = L_2s_2$ (Fig.3). Finally we compute θ_2 using the two argument arctangent function



These points are plotted in the joint co-ordinate system against time. θ_1 and θ_2 for a, p, q, b points are determined (as shown in fig.4 and fig.5).





Here 6-, 8- and 10-order polynomials are considered for the sake of analysis. Calculation is shown for 8th order polynomial only. Velocity, acceleration and jerk are considered to be zero at end knots a and b. So we see position, velocity, acceleration and jerk at end knots are known, thereby, a total of 8 boundary conditions.

We plan to join the knots (a, p, q, b) by *three* 8^{th} order polynomials (for k number of knots we use k-1 polynomials). In general if there are k number of knots and m order polynomial then number of unknown quantities: m(k-1), smoothness equations: (k-2)(m-1), interpolation equations: k-2 and boundary conditions: m [4]. Calculations for 8^{th} order polynomials connected by

© ICME2011

4 knots are shown. For this above numbers will be 24, 14, 2 and 8 respectively. We consider here three polynomials to construct the polynomial spline. Eqs. (1-3) are the polynomials, Eqs. (4-17) the smoothness equations, Eqs. (18-19) the interpolation equations and Eqs. (20-27) the boundary condition equations. Polynomials:

$$\begin{split} Y_{1} &= a_{0} + a_{1}t + a_{2}t^{2} + a_{3}t^{3} + a_{4}t^{4} + a_{5}t^{5} + a_{6}t^{6} + a_{7}t^{7} \\ for \ 0 < t < t_{p} & (1) \\ Y_{2} &= b_{0} + b_{1}(t - t_{p}) + b_{2}(t - t_{p})^{2} + b_{3}(t - t_{p})^{3} + b_{4}(t - t_{p})^{4} \\ + b_{5}(t - t_{p})^{5} + b_{6}(t - t_{p})^{6} + b_{7}(t - t_{p})^{7} \\ for \ t_{p} < t < t_{q} & (2) \\ Y_{3} &= c_{0} + c_{1}(t - t_{q}) + c_{2}(t - t_{q})^{2} + c_{3}(t - t_{q})^{3} + c_{4}(t - t_{q})^{4} \\ + c_{5}(t - t_{q})^{5} + c_{6}(t - t_{q})^{6} + c7(t - t_{q})^{7} \\ for \ t_{q} < t < t_{b} & (3) \end{split}$$

$$Y_1 = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3 t^3 + a_4 t^4 + a_5 t^5 + a_6 t^6 + a_7 t^7$$
(4)

$$Y_{1} = a_{1} + 2a_{2}t + 3a_{3}t^{2} + 4a_{4}t^{3} + 5a_{5}t^{4} + 6a_{6}t^{5} + 7a_{7}t^{6}$$
(5)

$$Y_{1}^{U} = 2a_{2} + 6a_{3}t + 12a_{4}t^{2} + 20a_{5}t^{3} + 30a_{6}t^{4} + 42a_{7}t^{5}$$
(6)

$$\prod_{1}^{2} = 6a_{3} + 24a_{4}t + 60a_{5}t^{2} + 120 a_{6}t^{3} + 210a_{7}t^{4}$$
(7)

$$\overrightarrow{Y}_{1} = 24a_{4} + 120a_{5}t + 360a_{6}t^{2} + 840a_{7}t^{3}$$
(8)

$$Y_1 = 120a_5 + 720a_6t + 2520a_7t^2$$
(9)

$$Y_1 = 720a_6 + 5040a_7 t \tag{10}$$

$$Y_{2} = b_{0} + b_{1} (t - t_{p}) + b_{2} (t - t_{p})^{2} + b_{3} (t - t_{p})^{3} + b_{4} (t - t_{p})^{4} + b_{5} (t - t_{p})^{5} + b_{6} (t - t_{p})^{6} + b_{7} (t - t_{p})^{7}$$
(11)

$$\overset{\Box}{Y_{2}} = b_{1} + 2b_{2} \left(t - t_{p} \right)^{2} + 3b_{3} \left(t - t_{p} \right)^{2} + 4b_{4} \left(t - t_{p} \right)^{3} + 5b_{5} \left(t - t_{p} \right)^{4}$$

$$+ 6b_{6} \left(t - t_{p} \right)^{5} + 7b_{7} \left(t - t_{p} \right)^{6}$$

$$(12)$$

$$\overset{\text{m}}{Y_2} = 6b_3 + 24b_4 (t-t_p) + 60b_5 (t-t_p)^2 + 120 b_6 (t-t_p)^3$$
(14)

$$+210b_7(t-t_p)$$

$$\frac{1}{Y_2} = 120b_5 + 720b_6 (t-t_p) + 2520b_7 (t-t_p)^2$$
(16)

$$\overline{Y_2} = 720b_6 + 5040b_7(t-t_p)$$
 (17)

Interpolation equations:

$$\mathbf{b}_0 = \mathbf{\theta}_1 \quad \text{at} \quad \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}_p \tag{18}$$

$$c_0 = \theta_1$$
 at $t = t_q$ (19)

© ICME2011

Boundary conditions: a = V (at t = 0)

$$a_0 = Y_1$$
 (at $t = 0$) (20)
 $a_1 = 0$ (since at $t = 0, Y_1 = 0$) (21)

$$a_{1} = 0$$
 (since at $t = 0, Y_{1} = 0$) (22)

$$0 \qquad (since at t = 0 \quad \nabla_{-0}) \qquad (23)$$

$$a_{3} = 0 \qquad (\text{since at } t = 0, t_{1} = 0) \qquad (23)$$

$$c_{0}+c_{1}(t_{b}-t_{q})+c_{2}(t_{b}-t_{q})+c_{3}(t_{b}-t_{q})+c_{4}$$

$$c_{1}+2c_{2}(t_{b}-t_{q})+3c_{3}(t_{b}-t_{q})^{2}+4c_{4}(t_{b}-t_{q})^{3}+$$

$$5c_{5}(t_{b}-t_{q})^{4}+6c_{6}(t_{b}-t_{q})^{5}+7c_{7}(t_{b}-t_{q})^{6}=0$$
(since at $t=t_{b}$ $Y_{3}^{2}=0$)
$$2c_{2}+6c_{3}(t_{b}-t_{q})+12c_{4}(t_{b}-t_{q})^{2}+20c_{5}(t_{b}-t_{q})^{3}+$$

$$30c_{6}(t_{b}-t_{q})^{4}+42c_{7}(t_{b}-t_{q})^{5}=0$$
(25)

$$(\text{since at } t=t_b \quad \stackrel{\square}{Y_3} = 0)$$

$$6c_3 + 24c_4(t_b - t_q) + 60c_5(t_b - t_q)^2 + 120 \quad c_6(t_b - t_q)^3 + (27)$$

$$210c_7(t_b - t_q)^4 = 0$$

(since at $t=t_b \quad \stackrel{\square}{Y_3} = 0$)

We put $t=t_p$ and $t=t_q$ in Eqs. (4-19) the resulting equations along with Eqs. (20-27) generates a set of 24 simultaneous equations, which can be written in matrix form:

$$M_{24X24} \times N_{24X1} = U_{24X1}$$
(28)

where N_{24X1}

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & \dots & a_7 & b_0 & \dots & b_7 & c_0 & \dots & c_7 \end{bmatrix}^T \dots$$

Since $M_{24} \times A_{24}$ where $M_{24} \times A_{24}$ are known we can find $N_{24} \times A_{24}$

Since $M_{24X24} \propto U_{24X1}$ are known we can find N_{24X1} , i.e., the polynomial coefficients a, b, c etc.

We then plot θ_1 -t and θ_2 -t. From these plots the position of the end-effector can be located at any value of t. For, if we know the joint angles $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ (θ_3 is user assigned), using Denavit-Hartenberg algorithm we can find the position of the end-effector in the coordinate space. The necessary equations are:

$$x = L_1 \cos\theta_1 + L_2 \cos\theta_{12} + L_3 \cos\theta_{123}$$
(29)

$$y = L_1 \sin \theta_1 + L_2 \sin \theta_{12} + L_3 \sin \theta_{123}$$
 (30)

From Eqs. (29-30) the velocity, acceleration and jerk of the end-effector can be calculated using Eq. (31), Eq. (32), Eq. (33) respectively

$$V_{R} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(x)^{2} + (y)^{2}}}$$
(31)

3

RT-041

$$a_{R} = \sqrt{\frac{\left[\prod_{x} \right]^{2}}{\left(x\right)^{2} + \left(y\right)^{2}}}$$
(32)

$$j_{R} = \sqrt{(\pi)^{2} + (y)^{2}}$$
(33)

3. SIMULATION

Using AutoLISP code generated for the purpose we have simulated the motion of the manipulator arm tracing the newly designed trajectory in the coordinate space. Concepts of loop and file handling of AutoLISP were utilized for this. Drawing an entity and at the same time erasing the previous entity on the graphics screen are done rapidly at a speed selected by the user. This gives an effect of animation on the robotic manipulator on the graphics screen. AutoCAD Figs. (6-7) show simulation of manipulator motion in two positions. In course of simulation number of knots and order of the polynomial for trajectory planning has been varied and effect of these variations on velocity, acceleration and jerk is computed using MATLAB (R2009b).



Fig 6. Simulation: 1 Fig 7.

Fig 7. Simulation: 2

4. RESULTS

Results are shown in Figs. (8-16). Figs. (14-16) show the variations of maximum values of velocity, acceleration and jerk with the variation of number of knots and the order of the polynomial. Six cases are shown – for number of knots of 10 and 100 and polynomials of order 6,8 and 10. theta1-t theta2-t



Fig 8. Polynomial order 6, number of knots10



Fig 9. Polynomial order 6, number of knots100



Fig 10. Polynomial order 8, number of knots10



Fig 11. Polynomial order 8, number of knots100



Fig.12. Polynomial order 10, number of knots10



Fig.13. Polynomial order 10, number of knots100



Fig 14. Max velocity vs. number of knots

From the above analysis the following observations are made:

For a particular order polynomial as we increase the number of knots (i) the trajectory approaches the target curve (ii) the velocity, acceleration and jerk have a very low and smooth value in the middle region, (iii) at the ends of completion of motion high fluctuations with increased number of knots and (iv) maximum values of velocity, acceleration and jerk increase with the rise of number of knots.

And for a particular number knots as we vary the order of the polynomial,

(i) the duration of end fluctuation increases as we increase the order of polynomial; (ii) the values of maximum velocity, acceleration, jerk increase with the increase in order; (iii) as we increase the order of polynomial with number of knots the variation of maximum velocity, acceleration, jerk smoothens.



Fig 15. Max acceleration vs. number of knots



Fig 16. Max jerk vs. number of knots

5. CONCLUSION

The objective of the work was to study the effect of the value of the order of the polynomials and number knots on motion characteristics while designing trajectory for a manipulator. In this regard the effect of number of intermediate knots between two positions within the workspace has been studied for 6-, 8-, and 10-order polynomials.

From the above analysis it is evident that as we increase the number of knots the trajectory approaches the target curve and the middle region gives smooth and almost constant values of velocity, acceleration and jerk. Also with the rise of both value of order and number of knots we get smooth variation of those values. It is evident that there is a scope for optimization of peak values of jerk and acceleration considering variation of number of knot and value of the order of polynomial.

6. REFERENCES

- N. A. Aspragathos, "Cartesian trajectory generation under bounded position deviation", Mechanism and Machine Theory 33 (1998) 697–709.
- [2] A. Gasparetto, V. Zanotto, A new method for smooth trajectory planning of robot manipulators, Mechanism and Machine Theory 42 (2007) 455–471.
- [3] S. F. P. Saramago, M. Ceccarelli, "Effect of basic numerical parameters on a path planning of robots taking into account actuating energy", Mechanism and Machine Theory 39 (2004) 247–260.
- [4] Robert, and P. E. Norton, 2002, Cam Design and Manufacturing Handbook, Industrial Press, NY.
- [5] R. Mishra and T. K. Naskar, 2006, Synthesis of Ping Finite Optimized Cam Motion Program by B-, Proceedings of International Congress on Computational Mechanics and Simulation, ICCMS-06, IIT Guwahati, India.
- [6] M. Mondal, and T. K. Naskar, 2009, Introduction of control points in spline for synthesis of optimized cam motion program, Mechanism and Machine Theory 44, 255-71.
- [7] S. Bhattacharyya and T K Naskar, 2011, Analysis of the effect of number of knots in a trajectory on motion characteristics of 3R planar manipulator, International Conference on Computational Vision and Robotics, Proceed. of IIMT, Bhubaneswar.

7. NOMENCLATURE

Symb	Meaning	Unit
ol		
$\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$	Joint angles.	(rad)
. 2 0		
c _{12i}	$\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \dots + \theta_i)$	
s _{12i}	$\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \dots + \theta_i)$	
L_1	Length of first link of end-effector.	Mm
L_2	Length of second link of end-effector.	Mm
L_3	Length of third link of end-effector.	Mm
V_{R}	Resultant velocity of end-effector.	Mm/ sec
a _R	Resultant acceleration of end-effector.	Mm/ sec ²
\dot{J}_{R}	Resultant jerk of end-effector.	Mm/ sec ³

8. MAILING ADDRESS

Suparno Bhattacharyya

B.M.E. 3rd year student Department of Mechanical Engineering, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, India. <u>suparno.bhattacharyya@gmail.com</u>

Tarun Kanti Naskar

Associate Professor Department of Mechanical Engineering, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, India. <u>tknaskar@mech.jdvu.ac.in</u>

6